

## RULE IMPACT STATEMENT

### TITLE 460: DEPARTMENT OF MINES CHAPTER 1. OKLAHOMA MINING COMMISSION

#### **Subchapter 3. Operational Procedures**

460:1-3-2. Location [REVOKED]

460:1-3-6. Public inspection of documents [REVOKED]

#### **Subchapter 9. Rules**

460:1-9-1. Purpose [REVOKED]

#### **A. Purpose of the Proposed Rule(s)**

Executive Order (EO) 2020-03, issued on February 3, 2020, requires state agencies to identify at least two (2) existing regulatory restrictions, as defined in EO 2020-03, to be revoked whenever they propose one (1) new regulatory restriction through the permanent rulemaking process. Because the agency recently adopted eight restrictions and revoked three obsolete restrictions, the agency determined that it must identify an additional thirteen (13) regulatory restrictions to be revoked. Among the rules proposed to be revoked in accordance with EO 2020-03 are three (3) rules in Chapter 1 of Title 460 of the Oklahoma Administrative Code (OAC). All of the rules identified below were written more than thirty (30) years ago and appear to no longer serve their intended purpose.

Section 460:1-3-2 was determined by the agency to be outdated and ineffective because it lists an incorrect address for the office of the Oklahoma Mining Commission (OMC), and because the OMC already uses the principal office of the Oklahoma Department of Mines for all its functions.

Section 460:1-3-6 was determined by the agency to be outdated and unnecessary because the Oklahoma Open Records Act, 51 O.S. § 24A.1 *et seq.*, already provides for the public inspection of all OMC records described by the rule.

Section 460:1-9-1 was determined by the agency to be duplicative because another rule in the same chapter, 460:1-1-1, adequately explains the scope and purpose of Chapter 1 of Title 460 of the OAC.

#### **B. Description of the Classes of Persons Most Likely Affected by the Proposed Rule(s) and Cost Impacts on Affected Classes**

No classes of persons are likely to be affected by the proposed rule changes. The rules that are proposed to be revoked have been determined to be obsolete, ineffective, and/or inconsistent with current agency procedure.

**C. Description of the Classes of Persons Who Will Benefit from the Proposed Rule(s)**

No classes of persons will benefit from the proposed rule changes.

**D. Description of the Probable Impact of Proposed Rule(s) on Affected Classes of Persons or Political Subdivisions and List of Fee Changes**

Because no classes of persons or political subdivisions are affected by the proposed rule changes, there is no probable impact to any persons from the proposed rule changes. No fee changes are being proposed.

**E. Probable Costs and Benefits to the Agency and to any other Agency of the Implementation and Enforcement of the Proposed Rule(s), and Anticipated Effect on State Revenues, Included Projected Net Loss or Gain of Such Revenues**

The primary benefit to the agency is that the proposed rule removals will help the agency comply with Executive Order 2020-03. Because the rules that are proposed to be revoked have been determined to be obsolete, ineffective, and/or inconsistent with current agency procedure, there are no other probable costs or benefits to the Agency. There is no anticipated effect on state revenues.

**F. Determination of whether Implementation of the Proposed Rule(s) Will Have an Economic Impact on any Political Subdivisions or Require their Cooperation in Implementing or Enforcing the Rule(s)**

Because no political subdivisions are affected by the proposed rule changes, no political subdivisions will experience an economic impact. Because rules are being removed rather than adopted, the proposed rule changes do not require cooperation or enforcement by any political subdivisions.

**G. Determination of whether Implementation of the Proposed Rule(s) May Have an Adverse Economic Effect on Small Business as provided by the Oklahoma Small Business Regulatory Flexibility Act**

Because no classes of persons are likely to be affected by the proposed rule changes, no adverse economic effect on small businesses (as defined in 75 O.S. § 502) is anticipated as a result of the proposed rule changes.

**H. Explanation of Measures the Agency Has Taken to Minimize Compliance Costs and a Determination of whether There Are Less Costly or Nonregulatory Methods or Less Intrusive Methods for Achieving the Purpose of the Proposed Rule(s)**

The agency has taken no measures to minimize compliance costs because there are no compliance costs associated with the proposed rule changes. There is no less costly or less intrusive method for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule changes because the purpose of the propose rule changes is to remove obsolete regulatory requirements.

**I. Determination of the Effect of the Proposed Rule(s) on the Public Health, Safety and Environment and Description of the Nature of the Risk the Rule(s) are Designed to Reduce and to what Extent the Proposed Rule(s) Will Reduce the Risk**

The proposed rule changes will have no effect on public health, safety or the environment and are not designed to reduce any risk to public health, safety or the environment.

**J. Determination of any Detrimental Effect on the Public Health, Safety and Environment if the Proposed Rule(s) is Not Implemented**

No detrimental effect on public health, safety, and the environment will result if the proposed rule changes are not implemented.

**K. Date the Rule Impact Statement Was Prepared and/or Modified**

This Rule Impact Statement was prepared on May 14, 2021.